SAF-RC-022 100-BC Burial Grounds – Other Solid FINAL VALIDATION PACKAGE

COMPLETE COPY OF VALIDATION PACKAGE TO:

Jeanette Duncan (2) H9-02

MIR O3/15/06

COMMENTS:

SDG <u>J00047</u>

SAF-RC-022

Sample Location/Waste Site: 100-B-24 Spillway



Date:

10 March 2006

To:

Washington Closure Hanford Inc. (technical representative)

From:

TechLaw, Inc.

Project:

100BC Burial Grounds - Other Solids - Waste Site 100-B-24 Spillway

Subject:

Wet Chemistry - Data Package No. J00047-ST

INTRODUCTION

This memo presents the results of data validation on Data Package No. J00047 prepared by Severn Trent (ST). A list of samples validated along with the analyses reported and the method of analysis is provided in the following table.

	i žeprojejobrak	E. S. Million Colonia, A.		
J10V97	1/17/06	Solid	С	See note 1
J10V98	1/17/06	Solid	С	See note 1

^{1 -} Chromium VI by 7196A.

Data validation was conducted in accordance with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) validation statement of work and the 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan (DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, February 2005). Appendices 1 through 6 provide the following information as indicated below:

Appendix 1. Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Appendix 2. Summary of Data Qualification

Appendix 3. Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Appendix 4. Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Appendix 5. Data Validation Supporting Documentation

Appendix 6. Additional Documentation Requested by Client

DATA QUALITY PARAMETERS

Holding Times

Analytical holding times for metals are assessed to ascertain whether the holding time requirements were met by the laboratory. The holding time requirements are as follows: Soil samples must be analyzed within 30 days for chromium VI.

If holding times are exceeded, but not by greater than two times the limit, all associated sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" for detects and "UJ" for non-detects. If holding times are exceeded by greater than two times the limit, all associated detectable sample results are qualified as estimates and flagged "J" and all non-detects are rejected and flagged "UR".

All holding times were acceptable.

Method Blanks

Method Blanks

Method blank analyses are performed to determine the extent of laboratory contamination introduced through sampling, sample preparation and analysis. At least one acceptable method blank analysis must be conducted for every 20 samples. No contaminants should be present in the method blank. All blank results must fall below the contract required detection limit (CRQL) to be acceptable.

All method blank results were acceptable.

Field (Equipment) Blank

No field blanks were submitted for analysis.

Accuracy

Matrix Spike and Laboratory Control Sample

Matrix spike (MS) and laboratory control sample (LCS) analyses are used to assess the analytical accuracy of the reported data. The matrix spike is used to assess the effect of the matrix on the ability to accurately quantify sample concentrations. Recoveries must fall within the range of 70% to 130%. Samples with a recovery of less than 30% and a sample result below the IDL are rejected and flagged "UR". Samples with a recovery of 30% to 69% and a sample result less than the IDL are qualified "UJ". Samples with a recovery of greater than 130% or less than 70% and a sample result greater than the IDL are qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Finally, for samples with a recovery greater than 130% and a sample result less than the IDL, no qualification is required.

Due to matrix spike (38%) and matrix spike duplicate (58%) results outside QC limits, all chromium VI results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J".

All other accuracy results were acceptable.

Precision

Laboratory Duplicate Samples

Analytical precision is expressed by the relative percent differences (RPD) between the recoveries of matrix spike duplicate (MSD) analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. Precision may alternatively be assessed using unspiked duplicate analyses performed on a sample in the analytical batch. If both sample

and replicate activities (concentrations) are greater than five times the CRDL and the RPD is less than 30%, no qualification is required. If either activity (concentration) is less than five times the CRDL, the RPD control limit is less than or equal to two times the CRDL. If the RPD is outside the applicable control limit, associated results are qualified as estimated detects or estimated non-detects.

All laboratory duplicate results were acceptable.

Field Duplicate

One set of field duplicates (J10V97/J10V98) were submitted for analysis. Field duplicates are analyzed using the same criteria as for laboratory duplicates. All field duplicate results were acceptable.

Analytical Detection Levels

Reported analytical detection levels are compared against the required quantitation limits (RQLs) to ensure that laboratory detection levels meet the required criteria. All analytes met the RQL.

Completeness

Data package J00047 was submitted for validation and verified for completeness. Completeness is based on the percentage of data determined to be valid (i.e., not rejected). The completion percentage was 100%.

MAJOR DEFICIENCIES

None found.

MINOR DEFICIENCIES

Due to matrix spike (38%) and matrix spike duplicate (58%) results outside QC limits, all chromium VI results were qualified as estimates and flagged "J". Data flagged "J" indicates that the associated concentration is an estimate, but under the BHI statement of work, the data may be usable for decision-making purposes. All other validated results are considered accurate within the standard error associated with the methods.

REFERENCES

WCH, Contract #20266, Validation Statement of Work, Washington Closure Hanford Incorporated, July 7, 2003.

DOE/RL-96-22, Rev. 4, 100 Area Remedial Action Sampling and Analysis Plan, U.S. Department of Energy, February 2005.

Glossary of Data Reporting Qualifiers

Qualifiers which may be applied by data validators in compliance with BHI validation SOW are as follows:

- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. The value reported is the sample quantitation limit corrected for sample dilution and moisture content by the laboratory.
- UJ Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated quantitation limit is an estimate.
- Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and detected. Due to a minor QC deficiency identified during the data validation, the associated concentration is an estimate, but the data are usable for decision-making purposes.
- BJ Applied to inorganic analyses only. Indicates the analyte concentration was greater than the IDL but less than the CRDL and is considered an estimated value.
- R Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for, detected, and due to an identified major QC deficiency, the data are unusable.
- UR Indicates the compound or analyte was analyzed for and not detected in the sample. Additionally, the data is unusable due to an identified major QC deficiency.
- NJ Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound at an estimated value.

 The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).
- Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound. The data may not be valid for some specific applications (i.e., usable for decision-making purposes).

Summary of Data Qualification

WET CHEMISTRY DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY*

COMMENTS:	gayda yar.		
COMPOUND	QUALIFIER	SAMPLES AFFECTED	REASON
Chromium	J	All	MS, MSD recovery

^{* -} The Qualified Data Summary Table includes laboratory applied "U" qualifiers not specifically identified here. The laboratory applied "U" qualifiers are included to minimize misinterpretation of results contained in the table.

Qualified Data Summary and Annotated Laboratory Reports

Project: WASHINGTON	CLOSURE HAN	FORD	_		
Lab: LLI	J00047				
Sample Number		J10V97		J10V98	
Remarks				Duplicate	
Sample Date		1/17/06		1/17/06	
Wet Chemistry	RQL	Result	Q	Result	Q
Chromium VI	0.5	0.350	IJ	0.350	บ่า

Sample Results Summary STL Richland STLRL

Ordered by Method, Batch No., Client Sample ID.

Report No.: 31074

SDG No: J00047

Date: 18-Jan-06

Client Id Batch Work Order Parameter	Result +- Uncertainty (2s)	Qual Units	Yield	MDC or MDA	CRDL	RPD
6017474 7196_CR6						
J10V97						
HVRQA1AA HEXCHROME	3.50E-01 +- 0.0E+00	U J mg/kg	N/A	3.50E-01	3.50E-01	
HVRQA1AE HEXCHROME	3.50E-01 + 0.0E+00	U mg/kg	N/A	3.50E-01	3.50E-01	0.0
J10V98	•			•		
HVRQE1AA HEXCHROME	3.50E-01 +- 0.0E+00	U J mg/kg	N/A	3.50E-01	3.50E-01	
No. of Results: 3					. ,	

N 3/3/06

RPD - Relative Percent Difference.

Laboratory Narrative and Chain-of-Custody Documentation

Certificate of Analysis

Washington Closure Hanford 3190 George Washington Way Richland, WA 99354

January 18, 2005

Attention: Joan Kessner

SAF Number

RC-022

Date SDG Closed

January 17, 2006

Number of Samples

Two (2)
Other Solids

Sample Type SDG Number

J00047

Data Deliverable

1-Day / Summary

CASE NARRATIVE

I. Introduction

On January 17, 2006, one water sample was received at STL Richland (STLR) for chemistry analysis. Upon receipt, the sample was assigned the following laboratory ID number to correspond with the Washington Closure Hanford (WCH) specific ID:

WCH ID#	STLR ID#	MATRIX	DATE OF RECEIPT
J10V97	HVRQA	OTHER SOLID	01/17/06
J10V98	HVRQE	OTHER SOLID	01/17/06

II. Sample Receipt

The sample was received in good condition and no anomalies were noted during check-in.

III. Analytical Results/Methodology

The analytical results for this report are presented by laboratory sample ID. Each set of data includes sample identification information, analytical results and the appropriate associated statistical errors. The requested analyses were:

Chemical Analysis

Hexavalent Chromium by EPA method 7196A

Washington Closure Hanford January 18, 2006

IV. Quality Control

The analytical results for each analysis performed includes a minimum of one laboratory control sample (LCS), one method (reagent) blank, and one duplicate sample analysis. Any exceptions have been noted in the "Comments" section.

QC and sample results are reported in the same units.

V. Comments

Chemical Analysis

Hexavalent Chromium by EPA method 7196A:

The sample matrix spike, matrix duplicate and post digestion spike for this analysis were all below acceptance limits indicating a possible matrix interference. Other than as noted, the LCS, batch blank, sample, post digestion matrix spike (J10V97) and sample duplicate (J10V97) results are within contractual requirements.

I certify that this Certificate of Analysis is in compliance with the SOW, both technically and for completeness, for other than the conditions detailed above. Release of the data contained in this hard copy data package has been authorized by the Laboratory Manager, or a designee as verified by the following signature.

Reviewed and approved:

Hans Carman Project Manager



Richland Laboratory Data Review Check List Hexavalent Chromium

Work Order Number(s): HVRQA, HVRQE		<u> </u>	-	
Lab Sample Numbers or SDG: J00047 Method/Test/Parameter: Cr+6 in Other/Solid / RICH-WC-5003, Rev 7				
inchour resirrarameter: C1 + 6 in Other/Sond / RICH-WC-5003, Rev 7	, .	·		
Review Item	Yes (*)	No (✓)	N/A (✓)	2 nd Level Review (√)
A. Initial Calibration	1			
1. Performed at required frequency with required number of levels?] .	.]	\ \\ \\ \ \
2. Correlation coefficient within QC limits?	4			
3. Initial calibration verification (ICV) analyzed immediately after calibration and results within QC limits?	~			
4. Initial calibration blank (ICB) analyzed immediately after ICV and concentrations of all parameters ≤ reporting limit?	~			,
B. Continuing Calibration 1. CCV analyzed at required frequency and all parameters within QC limits?	~			
2. CCB analyzed at required frequency and all results ≤ reporting limit?	1			i.
C. Sample Analysis	1			
Were any samples with concentrations above the linear range for any parameter diluted and reanalyzed?				i i
2. Were all sample holding times met?	1	 		L
D. QC Samples 1. All results for the preparation blank below limits?	~			V -
2. MS or MS/MSD recoveries within QC limits and %RPD (for MSD) acceptable?		1		,.
3. LCS percent recovery within QC limits and %RPD (for LCSD) acceptable?	1	<u> </u>		<u> </u>
4. Analytical spikes within QC limits where applicable?			✓	i i
5. ICP only: One serial dilution performed per SDG?			✓	U.
6. ICP only: CRDL standard (CRI or CRA) analyzed at required frequency?	,		√	L
7. ICP only: Interference check samples (ICSA, ICSAB) and HICAL analyzed at the required frequencies and within QC limits?			✓	l

Review Item	Yes (*)	No (✓)	N/A (*)	2 ^{ml} Level Review (✓)
E. Other	1			
1. Are all nonconformances included and noted?				1.
2. Is the correct date and time of analysis shown?	✓.			U
3. Did the analyst sign and date the front page of the analytical run?	✓			U
4. Correct methodology used?	1	 		Line
5. Transcriptions checked?	1			L.
6. Calculations checked at minimum frequency?	1			
7. Units checked?	1			

Comments on any "No"	response	•		
_ MS & MS	s below the an	witable Cimi	₽,	
TOMES OUT	Willand.			_
Withir	CHILLET.			
<u> </u>				
Analyst:	pinis		Date: 1/18/06	
Second-Level Review	w: Hullur	<u> </u>	Date: 1-18-06	

Clouseau Nonconformance Memo



NCM#: 10-07350

NCM Initiated By: Debbie Manis Date Opened: 01/18/2006

Date Closed:

Classification: Anomaly

Status: GLREVIEW

Production Area: Classical Chemistry

Tests: 7196A

Lot #'s (Sample #'s): J6A170000 (474),

J6A170245 (1,2),

QC Batches: 6017474

Nonconformance: Other (describe in detail) Subcategory: Other (explanation required)

Problem Description / Root Cause

Name Debble Manis **Date**

Description

01/18/2006

MS & MSD out of limits.

Corrective Action

Name

Debbie Manis

Date 01/18/2006

Corrective Action
PDMS analyzed. Matrix effect.

Client Notification Summary

Client

Project Manager

Notified

Response How Notified

Notes

Note

Response

Response Note

Quality Assurance Verification

Verified By

Due Date

Status

This section not yet completed by QA.

Approval History

Date Approved

Approved By

Position

Date Printed: 1/18/2006

Page 1 of 1

000017

Ŋ				27038													
TIS	Washington Closure Hanford		Cl	CHAIN OF CUSTODY/SAMPLE ANALYSIS				REQUEST			RC-022-01)12 Page 1 of 1			
RI	Collector			Telephone No. 531-0701		Preject Coordinater KESSNER, JH		nater	Price Code		Data Tu)ata Tu	rnaround			
RICHLAND	Project Designation 100-BC Burial Grounds - Otl	her Solid Quick Turn	,	ling Location -B-24 Spillway Waste Si	ite	•			SAF RC-	No. 022		Air	Quality		٦٩	hon	irs_
DINA	Ice Chest No.			Logbook No.	1106	COA CI1BX4	A000			hed of Shir overnment			,				
	Shipped To Severn Trent Incorporated, R	Richland	Offsit	e Property No.		-			Bill	of Lading	Air Bill	No.				, , , ,	
	POSSIBLE SAMPLE HAZA		17	Preservation	Cool 4C				•								
	Special Handling and/or S	Storage JbA170	215	Type of Container	G/P	1		<u> </u>				+				_ 	 _
	Special Handling and/or Storage 764170245 Cool 4 degrees Centigrade Ore 01 19 06			No. of Container(s)	1												
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Volume	60mL						1				-		
		SAMPLE ANAI	LYSIS	·	Chronism Hex - 7196												
			:		<u> </u>				•							-	
•	Sample No.	Matrix *	Sample Date	Sample Time			76.75									4	
	J10V97 HYRQA	OTHER SOLID	بعدددد	1017	\												
0000	J10V98 HVKRE	OTHER SOLID	JOLITION	10.30	<u> </u>	 		ļ						<u> </u>	\perp		
00		<u> </u>			 	 	- 	-				+			-		
~		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	 	1	 			 	十					
∞	CHAIN OF POSSESSIC		Sign/Prin				ECIAL INSTI	RUCTI	ONS		•						Matrix *
	Relinquished By/Removed From Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto Jeff Jo Received By/Sto	ensen /////	nate/Titus 0/1700 nate/Titus	<i>Y</i>	sour hold time	د									S-Soil SE-Schincel SO-Solid SI-Sludge W = Water O-O3
	Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto	red in D	ale/Time							•					A=Air D\$=Dram Solids D1_=Dram Liquids
	Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Stor	red in D	ate/Time			-	٠.				<i>i</i> .				T=Titute WI=Wipe L=Liquid
	Relinquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto	red in D	ate/Time										٠.		V=Vegention X=Other
	Relizquished By/Removed From	Date/Time	Received By/Sto	red In D	ste/Time												
	LABORATORY Received B	у			Ti	tle	<u></u>			· .			,		Date/I		
	Birmal M	athod '					Dien	osed By							Date/	t unse	

Disposed By

BHI-EE-011 (08/29/2005)

FINAL SAMPLE Disposal Method DISPOSITION

Data Validation Supporting Documentation

GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

VALIDATION LEVEL:	A	В	c	D	E
PROJECT:	U-B-24 <	pilway	DATA PACKAG	E: 20004	7
VALIDATOR:		LAB: 5	-	DATE: 3/3	
			SDG: JO	2047	
		ANALYSES I	PERFORMED		
Anions/IC	тос	тох	TPH-418.1	Oil and Grease	Alkalinity
Ammonia	BOD/COD	Chloride	Chromium-VI	рΉ	NO ₃ /NO ₂
Sulfate	TDS	TKN	Phosphate	·	
SAMPLES/MATI	RIX				
11009	7 576	TURY		······································	
				-	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-	0.0
					0114
I. DATA PA	CKAGE COMPL	ETENESS AND C	ASE NARRATIVI	Z	
	on documentation p				Yes No N/A
Comments:					
	<u> </u>		·		
·	·	•.			_
	ENT PERFORM				
	erformed on all inst				
	cceptable?				
	s performed on all is acceptable?				

	ceptable?				
	· — —				

GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

3. BLANKS (Levels B, C, D, and E)	
ICB and CCB checks performed for all applicable analyses? (Levels D, E)	Ves No 61/
ICB and CCB results acceptable? (Levels D, E)	Ves No N
Laboratory blanks analyzed?	Yes No N/
Laboratory blank results acceptable?	No N/
Field blanks analyzed? (Levels C, D, E)	Vac No N/
Field blank results acceptable? (Levels C, D, E)	Vos No Ni
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Von No 177
Comments:	No FB
4. ACCURACY (Levels C, D, and E)	
Spike samples analyzed?	
Spike recoveries acceptable?	Yes No N/
Sike standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/
Spike standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N
LCS/BSS samples analyzed?	Yes No N/
LCS/BSS results acceptable?	Yes No N/
Standards traceable? (Levels D, E)	Ves No N/
Standards expired? (Levels D, E)	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/
Performance audit sample(s) analyzed?	Yes No N/
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	Ves No MI
Performance audit sample results acceptable?	
	NO 842

GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

5. PRECISION (Levels C, D, and E)		
Duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes No	N/A
Duplicate results acceptable?		
MS/MSD standards NIST traceable? (Levels D, E)		
MS/MSD standards expired? (Levels D, E)	Yes No	W/A
Field duplicate RPD values acceptable?	Yes No	N/A
Field split RPD values acceptable?	Yes No.	(N/A)
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)		
Comments:	•	
		_
6. HOLDING TIMES (all levels)		
Samples properly preserved?	Yes No	N/A
Sample holding times acceptable?	Yes No	
Comments:		
<u> </u>	<u>:</u>	

GENERAL CHEMISTRY ANALYSIS DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST

7. RESULT QUANTITATION AND DETECTION LIMITS (all levels)	(5)
Results reported for all requested analyses?	(Yes) No N/A
Results supported in the raw data? (Levels D, E)	\ / / /·\
Samples properly prepared? (Levels D, E)	
Detection limits meet RDL?	
Transcription/calculation errors? (Levels D, E)	Yes No N/A
Comments:	
	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Additional Documentation Requested by Client

QC Results Summary STL Richland STLRL

Ordered by Method, Batch No, QC Type,.

Report No.: 31074

SDG No.: J00047

Date: 18-Jan-06

Batch Work Order	Parameter	Result +- Uncertainty (2s)	Qual	Units	Yield	Recovery	Blas	MDCIMDA
7196_CR6				-				
6017474 MATRIX	SPIKE							
HVRQA1AC	HEXCHROME	1.64E+01 +- 0.0E+00		mg/kg	N/A	38%	-0.6	3.50E-01
HVRQA1AD	HEXCHROME	2.49E+01 +- 0.0E+00	•	mg/kg	N/A	58%	-0.4	3.50E-01
6017474 LCS				• •				
HVR491AC	HEXCHROME	4.05E+01 +- 0.0E+00		mg/kg	N/A	101%	0.0	3.50E-01
6017474 BLANK Q	C							0.002 0.
HVR491AA	HEXCHROME	3.50E-01 +- 0.0E+00	U	mg/kg	N/A			3.50E-01
No. of Results:	A .	•	•					